

### Development of portable indoor solar cooking device with energy storage facility

Dr. Dwaipayan Sen (PI) Dr. Sulagna Chatterjee (Co-PI) Mr. Subrata Mukherjee (Co-PI) Department of Chemical Engineering Heritage Institute of Technology, Kolkata Industry Partner: Geetanjali Solar, P-14, Kasba Industrial Estate, Phase-1, E. M. By Pass, P.O. East Kolkata Township, Kolkata – 700107



#### **Target Beneficiaries**

- Low income group consumers using smoky stoves (through burning coal, cowdung cake, wood) for cooking in rural and limited urban areas (such as slums, roadside food stall).
- The rural consumers for solar stoves are mainly served through the community-based facility, which has limited energy storage options and the cooking is limited during daytime at outdoor condition.



#### **Identified Problems**

- Conventional solar stove (chullas) are only restricted to use during daytime.
- Present solar stoves are operated at outdoor condition, which might restrict the cooking procedure at adverse weather condition.
- PV based solar cooker requires large installation area.
- Use of dried biomass (wood) as energy sources with conventional solar stove (chullas) increases environmental carbon footprint reduction.







#### Issues with conventional cooking facility

- Price hike in LPG cylinder reduces LPG consumption. Below 45% in rural India (Source: The Hindu, May 09, 2022).
- Average rural casual labourers Rs. 368/day doesn't meet the expenditure (*Source: Business Standard, July, 17, 2023*)
- Solid fuel consumption in rural India 20% (India 15%) (Source: Council of Energy, Environment and Water, Report, September, 2021)

#### State-wise Highest & Lowest Consumption of LPG



Source: Indian Petroleum & Natural Gas Statistics 2021-22



Annual average Global insolation map of India showing the isohels and solar hotspots (Source: https://wgbis.ces.iisc.ac.in/energy/paper/hotspots\_solar\_potential/results.htm)

#### Issues with conventional cooking facility...contd.



Percentage of COPD DALYs (Disability Adjusted Life Years) attributable to different risk factors in India by sex, 2016 (Source: Lancet Global Health, 6, 2018, e1363–1374)



#### Issues with conventional cooking facility...contd....



Reported health problems due to indoor air pollution (Source: Frontiers in Public Health, 3, 2015, DOI:10.3389/fpubh.2015.00005)

#### **Problem statement**



Development of portable solar cooker capable of solar energy storage and release of that energy during night time for cooking purpose.



## Details of the existing Technologies in the proposed sector

 Newly launched "Surya Natun" solar stove from Indian Oil Corporation. Device is equipped with a PV installed which is solar irradiation, converting solar energy to electrical energy using thermal battery. (*The limitations might lie with its price and portability*)



## Details of the existing Technologies in the proposed sector ... contd.

- Smart Stoves from Greenway Infra Pvt Limited, Ergonomic front loading design, MOC: Steel and Aluminium with Bakelite Handles, Secondary Air Induction Mechanism, 65% Fuel saving and 70% smoke reduction. (*Limitations with the burning of wood leading to increase for carbon footprint*)
- Solar Parabolic Cooker 2.7 from Radha Solar Energy Cell, Capacity 15 people at time, Manual tracking. (*Limitations with the night time cooking*)





**〔**10 〕



### Phase change material design

- Mixing of 71.57% (w/w) sodium nitrate, 28.4% (w/w) potassium nitrate and 0.03% (w/w) graphite at room temperature.
- Mixing wax (97%) (w/w) and graphite (3%) (w/w) at room temperature.
- Aluminium silicate ceramic blanket insulation (k=0.06 W/mK; Specific heat: 1130 J/kgK; density: 96.1 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Properties of wax-graphite mixture:
- Thermal expansion coefficient: 0.0006/oC

$$C_{p}$$
 (I) = 2100 J/kgK -  $C_{p}$  (s) = 2000 J/kgK

$$k(I) = 0.25 W/mK - k(s) = 0.28 W/mK$$

 $\therefore$  Density (I) = 790 kg/m<sup>3</sup> - Density (s) = 910 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Viscosity = 0.0269 Pa.s

### Material Standardization ... contd.



Fig. 3: Heating and Cooling Rate for wax and graphite mixture (71.57% (w/w) sodium nitrate, 28.4% (w/w) potassium nitrate and 0.03% (w/w) graphite) (Without insulation) *Heating was done with electrical heater*

### **Material Standardization**



Fig. 1: Heating and Cooling Curve for wax and graphite mixture (97 wt% Wax and 3 wt% graphite) (Without insulation) *Heating was done with electrical heater* 

### Material Standardization ... contd.



Fig. 2: Heating and Cooling Rate for wax and graphite mixture (97 wt% Wax and 3 wt% graphite) (Without insulation) *Heating was done with electrical heater* 





# Bench-top study of the miniature solar cooker



Fig. 5(a): Insulated bench scale apparatus (b) Schematic of the apparatus





## Bench-top study of the miniature solar cooker ......



Fig. 6: Heating of the bench-top cooker using parabolic solar concentrator at rooftop

(17)



Bench-top study of the miniature solar cooker .....

Aperture Area =  $1.8144 \text{ m}^2$ Receiver area =  $0.1257 \text{ m}^2$ Concentration ratio = 14.4Average solar insolation in WB=5 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/day Reflectance of material in solar collector = 0.92



## Bench-top study of the miniature solar cooker .....



Fig. 7: Onset of boiling over bench-top cooker after charging

19 ]



## Bench-top study of the miniature solar cooker ......



0% graphite doped

0.03% graphite doped

Fig. 8: FESEM image of eutectic mixture with and without graphite



## Bench-top study of the miniature solar cooker ....



Discharge time in minutes

Fig. 9: Performance analysis of PUF insulation for eutectic mixture of salts with graphite



## Bench-top study of the miniature solar cooker ....

- -~ - 4 cm insulation thickness - -\* - 6 cm insulation thickness



No insulation

Fig. 10: Performance analysis with ceramic blanket insulation for eutectic mixture of salts with graphite

### Bench-top study of the miniature solar cooker ... contd.



Fig. 11: Performance analysis with ceramic blanket insulation for wax with graphite

### Bench-top study of the miniature solar cooker ... contd.



Fig. 12: Heat loss through ceramic blanket insulation for wax with graphite

# Graphite percentage determination for optimum insulation



25

ЗХ

### Parametric consideration

- D/Thickness<sub>insulation</sub> = 1
- Volumetric ratio of graphite = 2.5%
- Gr<sub>bench</sub> = 148032.6
- Pr<sub>bench</sub>= 222.8

$$Nu_{bench} = 0.133 (Gr_{bench} \cdot Pr_{bench})^{0.326} \left(\frac{L}{r}\right)^{-0.0686} = 34.6$$

Ref: Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 26 (3) (2012) 959-965

### **Proposed scale-up with insulation**



Fig. 14: Fabrication of solar oven in actual scale

# Scale-up devise with insulation simulation result



Fig. 15: Profile for energy transfer through insulation at 5 h

# Scale-up devise with insulation simulation result ... contd.



Fig. 16: Temperature profile through insulation from top surface of the cooking oven

# Scale-up devise with insulation simulation result ... contd.



Fig. 17: Temperature profile through insulation from bottom surface of the cooking oven

### Proposed cooking oven



### Proposed cooking oven ... contd.



Fig. 19: Proposed oven

# Outcome achieved, pending and deviations

- Selection of PCM and its standardization.
- Bench scale study of the insulated solar devise.
- Heating of water using bench scale set-up.
- Fabrication of scale-up version of cooking devise.
- Insulation box design (Ongoing).
- Field testing towards cooking/boiling of water (Yet to accomplish)
- Deviation from the double solar reflector, which is replaced by parabolic solar concentrator.

### **Expenditure statement**

Non-Recurring	Expenditure (INR)	Expenditure (Euro) 1 Euro=88.48 INR	Total Budget allocated (Euro)
Solar concentrator	14,500	163.87	
Fabrication of solar devise	13,530	152.92	
Insulation box	Quotation yet to receive	-	1937.50
Toolbox			
Solar illuminator	10,030	113.36	
(A) Total Expenditure	38,060	430.15	

### Expenditure statement ... contd.

Recurring	Expenditure (INR)	Expenditure (Euro) 1 Euro=88.48 INR	Total Budget allocated (Euro)	
Chemicals and consumables	5,758	65.08		
Analysis	6,770	76.51	3000.00	
Travel	Yet to utilize	-		
Contingency	Yet to utilize	-		
Manpower cost	Yet to utilize	-		
(B) Total Expenditure	12,528	141.59		
Total Expenditure (A+B)	50,588	571.74 (16.7% Fund received)	<b>4937.50 (Fund received</b> 3417.73 on 21.12.2022 1 euro = 87.9114)	



### THANK YOU